arXiv:1609.06184v1 [math.NT] 20 Sep 2016

ON THE RIESZ MEANS OF $\delta_k(n)$

SAURABH KUMAR SINGH

ABSTRACT. Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. Let $\delta_k(n)$ denote the maximum divisor of n which is co-prime to k. We study the error term of the general m-th Riesz mean of the arithmetical function $\delta_k(n)$ for any positive integer $m \geq 1$, namely the error term $E_m(x)$ where

$$\frac{1}{m!}\sum_{n\leq x}\delta_k(n)\left(1-\frac{n}{x}\right)^m = M_{m,k}(x) + E_{m,k}(x).$$

We establish a non-trivial upper bound for $|E_{m,k}(x)|$, for any integer $m \geq 1$.

Keywords : Euler-totient function, Generating functions, Riemann zeta-function.
Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) : Primary 11A25 ; Secondary 11N37 .

1. Introduction

For any fixed positive integer k, we define

$$\delta_k(n) = \max\{d : d \mid n, \quad (d,k) = 1\}. \tag{1}$$

Joshi and Vaidya [6] proved that

$$\sum_{n\leq x}\delta_k(n) = \frac{k}{2\sigma(k)}x^2 + E_k(x), \tag{2}$$

with $E_k(x) = O(x)$ and $\sigma(k) = \sum_{d|k} d$, when k is a square free positive integer. They also proved that when $k = p$, a prime,

$$\underline{\lim}_{n\to\infty}\frac{E_p(x)}{x} = -\frac{p}{p+1}, \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\lim}_{n\to\infty}\frac{E_p(x)}{x} = \frac{p}{p+1}.$$

It was proved by Maxsein and Herzog [7] that for any square free positive integer k,

$$\underline{\lim}_{n\to\infty}\frac{E_k(x)}{x} \leq -\frac{k}{\sigma(k)}, \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\lim}_{n\to\infty}\frac{E_k(x)}{x} \geq \frac{k}{\sigma(k)}.$$

Around the same time, Adhikari, Balasubramanian and Sankaranarayanan [1] proved the above results by a different method. While a tauberian theorem of Hardy-Littlewood and Karamata was used in [7] to get the asymptotic formula for $\sum_{n\leq x}\gamma_k(n)$, where $\gamma_k(n)$ is defined by the relation $\delta_k(n) = \gamma_k * I(n)$ where $*$ is the Dirichlet convolution and I is the identity function, the method of [1] consists of averaging over arithmetical progressions.

For $k \geq 1$ and square free, Harzog and Maxsein [7] had also observed that

$$\limsup_{x\to\infty}\frac{E_k(x)}{x} \leq \frac{1}{2}d(k),$$

where $d(k)$ denotes the number of divisors of k. Later Adhikari and Balasubramanian [2] improved this result of Maxsein and Herzog by showing that

$$\overline{\lim}_{n\to\infty}\frac{|E_k(x)|}{x} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{p+1}\right)d(k),$$

where p denotes the smallest prime dividing k.

Writing

$$H_k(x) = \sum_{n\leq x}\frac{\delta_k(n)}{n} - \frac{kx}{\sigma(k)},$$

one observes (see [1]) that

$$\frac{E_k(x)}{x} = H_k(x) + O(1).$$

In [3], more precise upper and lower bounds for the quantities $\underline{\lim}\, H_k(x)$ and $\overline{\lim}\, H_k(x)$ were established. The aim of this article is to study the error term of the general m-th Riesz mean related to the arithmetic function $\delta_k(n)$ for any positive integer $m \geq 1$ and $k \geq 1$ (need not be a square free integer). More precisely, we write

$$\frac{1}{m!}\sum_{n\leq x}\delta_k(n)\left(1-\frac{n}{x}\right)^m = M_{m,k}(x) + E_{m,k}(x) \tag{3}$$

where $M_{m,k}(x)$ is the main term (exists) and $E_{m,k}(x)$ is the error term of the sum under investigation. We prove the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $x \geq x_0$ where x_0 is a sufficiently large positive number and let $c(\eta) = \frac{2}{1-2^{-\eta}}$ for any $\eta > 0$. For any integer $m \geq 1$ and for any integer $k \geq 1$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{m!}\sum_{n\leq x}\delta_k(n)\left(1-\frac{n}{x}\right)^m = \frac{x^2}{(m+2)!}\prod_{p|k}\frac{p}{p+1} + E_{m,k}(x),$$

where

$$E_{1,k}(x) \ll kc(1/2)^{\omega(k)}x^{\frac{1}{2}}\log x,$$

and for $m \geq 2$, we have

$$E_{m,k}(x) \ll kc(\eta)^{\omega(k)}x^{\eta}$$

for any small fixed positive constant η and the implied constant is independent of m.

2. Notation

(1) Throughout the paper, $s = \sigma + it$; the parameters T and x are sufficiently large real numbers and m is an integer ≥ 1.
(2) η, ϵ always denote sufficiently small positive constants.
(3) As usual $\zeta(s)$ denotes the Riemann zeta-function.
(4) k is any square free positive integer.

3. **Some Lemmas**

Generating function for $\delta_k(n)$ is given by:

lemma 3.1. *We have*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\delta_k(n)}{n^s} = \zeta(s-1)G(s),$$

where

$$G(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{g(n)}{n^s} = \prod_{p|k}\left(\frac{1-\frac{p}{p^s}}{1-\frac{1}{p^s}}\right) \ll k\ c(\eta)^{\omega(k)},$$

for $\sigma \geq \eta$ and

$$c(\eta) = \frac{2}{1-2^{-\eta}}.$$

Proof. We have (see [1, equation 2.2]),

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\frac{\delta_k(n)}{n^s} &= \prod_p\left(1+\frac{\delta_k(p)}{p^s}+\frac{\delta_k(p^2)}{p^{2s}}+\cdots\right)\\ &= \prod_{p|k}\left(1+\frac{1}{p^s}+\frac{1}{p^{2s}}+\cdots\right)\prod_{p\nmid k}\left(1+\frac{p}{p^s}+\frac{p^2}{p^{2s}}+\cdots\right)\\ &= \zeta(s-1)\prod_{p|k}\frac{1-\frac{1}{p^{s-1}}}{1-\frac{1}{p^s}} := \zeta(s-1)G(s),\end{aligned}$$

since

$$\delta_k(p^m)=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if} \quad p\mid k\\ p^m & \text{if} \quad p\nmid k.\end{cases}$$

And for $\sigma\geq\eta$ (>0), we observe that

$$|G(s)|=\prod_{p|k}\left|\frac{1-\frac{1}{p^{s-1}}}{1-\frac{1}{p^s}}\right|\leq\prod_{p|k}\frac{1+p^{1-\eta}}{1-\frac{1}{p^\eta}}\leq\prod_{p|k}\frac{2p}{1-\frac{1}{2^\eta}}\leq kc(\eta)^{\omega(k)}.$$

□

lemma 3.2. *Let m be an integer ≥ 1. Let c and y be any positive real numbers and $T\geq T_0$ where T_0 is sufficiently large. Then we have,*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{c-iT}^{c+iT}\frac{y^s}{s(s+1)\cdots(s+m)}ds=\begin{cases}\frac{1}{m!}\left(1-\frac{1}{y}\right)^m+O\left(\frac{4^m y^c}{T^m}\right) & \text{if} \quad y\geq 1,\\ O\left(\frac{1}{T^m}\right) & \text{if} \quad 0<y\leq 1.\end{cases}$$

Proof. See [8, Lemma 3.2] and also [4, p.31 Theorem B]). □

lemma 3.3. *The Riemann zeta-function $\zeta(s)$ is extended as a meromorphic function in the whole complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ with a simple pole at $s=1$ and it satisfies a functional equation $\zeta(s)=\chi(s)\zeta(1-s)$ where*

$$\chi(s)=\frac{\pi^{-(1-s)/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right)}{\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)}.$$

Also, in any bounded vertical strip, using Stirling's formula, we have

$$\chi(s)=\left(\frac{2\pi}{t}\right)^{\sigma+it-1/2}e^{i\left(t+\frac{\pi}{4}\right)}\left(1+O\left(t^{-1}\right)\right)$$

as $|t|\to\infty$. Thus, in any bounded vertical strip,

$$|\chi(s)|\asymp t^{1/2-\sigma}\left(1+O\left(t^{-1}\right)\right)$$

as $|t|\to\infty$.

Proof. See [9, p.116] or [5, p.8-12]. □

lemma 3.4. *We have for $t\geq t_0$ (sufficiently large),*

$$\zeta(\frac{1}{2}+it)\ll t^{1/6}(\log t)^{3/2}$$

and

$$\zeta(1+it)\ll\log t.$$

Proof. See [9, page 99, Theorem 5.5] and [9, page 49, Theorem 3.5] □

4. Proof of theorem 1.1

From Lemma 3.2, with $c = 2 + \frac{1}{\log x}$ and writing $F(s) := \zeta(s-1)G(s)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S := \sum_{n\leq x} \delta_k(n) \left(1-\frac{n}{x}\right)^m &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} F(s)\frac{x^s}{s(s+1)\cdots(s+m)}\, ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{c-iT}^{c+iT} F(s)\frac{x^s}{s(s+1)\cdots(s+m)} ds + O\left(\frac{4^m x^c \log x}{T^m}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Note that the tail portion error term in the above expression is actually

$$\ll \frac{4^m}{T^m} x^c \sum_{n\leq x} \frac{\delta_k(n)}{n^c} \ll \frac{4^m x^c \log x}{T^m},$$

since $\delta_k(n) \leq n$.

Case 1: Let $m = 1$. We move the line of integration in the above integral to $\Re s = \frac{1}{2}$. In the rectangular contour formed by the line segments joining the points $c - iT$, $c + iT$, $\frac{1}{2} + iT$, $\frac{1}{2} - iT$ and $c - iT$ in the anticlockwise order, we observe that $s = 2$ is a simple pole of the integrand. Thus we get the main term $\frac{x^2}{(m+2)!}\prod_{p|k}\frac{p}{p+1}$ from the residue coming from the pole $s = 2$.

We note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{c-iT}^{c+iT} F(s)\frac{x^s}{s(s+1)} ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\left\{\int_{\frac{1}{2}+iT}^{c+iT} \cdots + \int_{\frac{1}{2}-iT}^{\frac{1}{2}+iT} \cdots + \int_{c-iT}^{\frac{1}{2}-iT} \cdots \right\} + \text{sum of the residues.} \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

The left vertical line segment contributes the quantity:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &:= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-T}^{T} F(1/2+it)\frac{x^{1/2+it}dt}{(-1/2+it)(1/2+it)}\, dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\left(\int\limits_{|t|\leq t_0} + \int\limits_{t_0<|t|\leq T}\right) \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}+it}\zeta\left(-\frac{1}{2}+it\right)G\left(\frac{1}{2}+it\right)\, dt}{\left(\frac{1}{2}+it\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}+it\right))} \\ &\ll k\ c(1/2)^{\omega(k)}x^{1/2} + k\ c(1/2)^{\omega(k)}x^{1/2}\int\limits_{t_0<|t|\leq T} t^{1/2-(-1/2)}\left|\zeta(3/2+it)G\left(\frac{1}{2}+it\right)\right|\frac{dt}{t^2} \\ &\ll k\ c(1/2)^{\omega(k)}x^{1/2} + k\ c(1/2)^{\omega(k)}x^{1/2}\int\limits_{t_0<t\leq T}\frac{dt}{t}. \\ &\ll k\ c(1/2)^{\omega(k)}x^{1/2}\log T. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Now we will estimate the contributions coming from the upper horizontal line (lower horizontal line is similar).

The horizontal lines in total contribute a quantity which is in absolute value

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ll \int_{1/2}^{c} \left|\zeta(\sigma-1+iT)G(\sigma+iT)\frac{x^{\sigma+iT}}{(\sigma+iT)(\sigma+1+iT)}\right| d\sigma \\
&\ll \left(\int_{1/2}^{1}+\int_{1}^{3/2}+\int_{3/2}^{c}\right)|\zeta(\sigma-1+iT)G(\sigma+iT)|\frac{x^{\sigma}}{T^2}d\sigma \\
&\ll k\ c(1/2)^{\omega(k)}\left\{\left(\int_{1/2}^{1}+\int_{1}^{3/2}\right)T^{1/2-\sigma+1}|\zeta(2-\sigma+iT)|\frac{x^{\sigma}}{T^2}d\sigma\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad \left.+\int_{3/2}^{c}|\zeta(\sigma-1+iT)|\frac{x^{\sigma}}{T^2}d\sigma\right\} \text{(by Lemma 3.3)} \\
&\ll k\ c(1/2)^{\omega(k)}\left(\frac{x\log T}{T}+\frac{x^{3/2}\log T}{T^{3/2}}+\frac{x^2\log T}{T^{11/6}}\right) \text{(by Lemma 3.4).}
\end{aligned}$$

Collecting all the estimates, and taking $T=x^{10}$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{1,k}(x) &\ll k\ c(1/2)^{\omega(k)}\left(x^{1/2}\log T+\frac{x^2}{T}+\frac{x\log T}{T}+\frac{x^{3/2}\log T}{T^{3/2}}+\frac{x^2\log T}{T^{11/6}}\right) \\
&\ll k\ c(1/2)^{\omega(k)}x^{1/2}\log x. \qquad (7)
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2: Let $m\geq 2$. We move the line of integration to $\Re s=\eta\ (>0)$.
We note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{c-iT}^{c+iT}F(s)\frac{x^s}{s(s+1)\cdots(s+m)}ds \\
&=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\left\{\int_{\delta+iT}^{c+iT}\cdots+\int_{\delta-iT}^{\delta+iT}\cdots+\int_{c-iT}^{\delta-iT}\cdots\right\}+\text{sum of the residue.} \qquad (8)
\end{aligned}$$

The left vertical line segment contributes the quantity:

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_m &:= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-T}^{T}F(\eta+it)\frac{x^{\eta+it}dt}{(\eta+it)(\eta+1+it)\cdots(\eta+m+it)}\ dt \\
&=\frac{1}{2\pi}\left(\int_{|t|\leq t_0}+\int_{t_0<|t|\leq T}\right)\frac{x^{\eta+it}\zeta\left(\eta-1+it\right)G\left(\eta+it\right)\ dt}{(\eta+it)(\eta+1+it)\cdots(\eta+m+it)} \\
&\ll k\ c(\eta)^{\omega(k)}x^{\eta}+k\ c(\eta)^{\omega(k)}x^{\eta}\int_{t_0<|t|\leq T}t^{1/2-(\eta-1)}|\zeta(3/2-\eta+it)G(\eta+it)|\frac{dt}{t^{m+1}} \\
&\ll k\ c(\eta)^{\omega(k)}x^{\eta}+k\ c(\eta)^{\omega(k)}x^{\eta}\int_{t_0<t\leq T}\frac{t^{3/2-\eta}}{t^3}\ dt. \\
&\ll k\ c(\eta)^{\omega(k)}\ x^{\eta}. \qquad (9)
\end{aligned}$$

Now we will estimate the contributions coming from the upper horizontal line (lower horizontal line is similar).

The horizontal lines in total contribute a quantity which is in absolute value

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ll \int_{\eta}^{c} \left| \zeta(\sigma-1+iT) G(\sigma+iT) \frac{x^{\sigma+iT}}{(\sigma+iT)(\sigma+1+iT)\cdots(\sigma+m+iT)} \right| d\sigma \\
&\ll c(\eta)^{\omega(k)} k \left(\int_{\eta}^{1} + \int_{1}^{3/2} + \int_{3/2}^{c} \right) |\zeta(\sigma-1+iT)| \frac{x^{\sigma}}{T^{k+1}} \\
&\ll k\ c(\eta)^{\omega(k)} \left\{ \left(\int_{\eta}^{1/2} + \int_{1/2}^{1} + \int_{1}^{3/2} \right) T^{1/2-\sigma+1} |\zeta(2-\sigma+iT)| \frac{x^{\sigma}}{T^{m+1}} d\sigma \right. \\
&\qquad \left. + \int_{3/2}^{c} |\zeta(\sigma-1+iT)| \frac{x^{\sigma}}{T^{m+1}} d\sigma \right\} \\
&\ll k\ c(\eta)^{\omega(k)} \left(\frac{x^{1/2}}{T^{m-1/2+\eta}} + \frac{x \log T}{T^{m}} + \frac{x^{3/2} (\log T)^{3/2}}{T^{m+5/6}} + \frac{x^{2} (\log T)^{3/2}}{T^{m+5/6}} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Collecting all the estimates, and taking $T = x^{10}$, for $m \geq 2$ we get:

$$E_{m,k}(x) \ll k\ c(\eta)^{\omega(k)} x^{\eta}. \tag{10}$$

This proves Theorem 1.1.

Remark 4.1. *For $m \geq 2$ we may try to move the line of integration slightly left of vertical line 0. On the line $\Re s = 0$, the function $G(s)$ has simple poles at the points $s(\ell, p) = \frac{2\pi i \ell}{\log p}$ $\forall \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ and for each prime $p \mid k$. let $p_1, p_2, \cdots p_{r_k}$ be the primes dividing k. The total contribution from the simple poles at the points $s(\ell, p) = \frac{2\pi i \ell}{\log p_j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq r_k$ is given by:*

$$M = \sum_{j=1}^{r_k} \sum_{|\ell| \leq \frac{T \log p_j}{2\pi}} \zeta\left(\frac{2\pi i \ell}{\log p_j} - 1 \right) \prod_{p_i \neq p_j} \left(1 - \frac{p_i}{p_j^{\frac{2\pi i \ell}{\log p_j}}} \right) \frac{x^{\frac{2\pi i \ell}{\log p_j}}}{\frac{2\pi i \ell}{\log p_j} \left(\frac{2\pi i \ell}{\log p_j} + 1 \right) \cdots \left(\frac{2\pi i \ell}{\log p_j} + m \right)}.$$

If one establishes that $M = o(x^{\eta})$, then this will improve the error term. This seems to be really difficult.

Remark 4.2. *From the Theorem 1.1 observe that*

$$E_{1,k}(x) \ll_{\epsilon} x^{1/2+10\epsilon}$$

uniformly for $3 \leq k \ll x^{\epsilon}$ since $\omega(k) \ll \frac{\log}{\log \log k}$ for $k \geq 3$. Also $E_{m,k}(x) \ll x^{c_1 \eta}$ uniformly for $3 \leq k \ll x^{\epsilon}$, where c_1 is effective positive constant.

Acknowledgement: Author would like to thank Prof. S. D. Adhikari and Prof. A. Sankaranarayanan for suggesting the problem and for all fruitful discussion and suggestions.

References

[1] S. D. Adhikari, R. Balashubramanian and A. Sankaranarayanan : *On an error term related to the greatest divisor of n which is prime to k* , Indian J. pure and appl. Math., **19(9)** (1988), 830-841.

[2] S. D. Adhikari and R. Balasubramanian: *A note on a certain error term.*, Arch. Math., **56** (1991), 37-40.

[3] S. D. Adhikari, K. Soundararajan: *Towards the exact nature of a certain error term-II* , Arch. Math., **59** (1992), 442-449.

[4] A.E. Ingham : *The distribution of prime numbers*, Cambridge University Press (1995).

[5] A. Ivić : *The Riemann Zeta-Function : Theory and Applications*, Dover Publications, Inc, New York.

[6] V. S. Joshi and A. M. Vaidya : *Topics in Classical Number Theory*, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyái. Budapest (Hungary) **34** (1981).

[7] T. Maxsein and J. Herzog: *Mathematiches Forschungsinstitut oberwalfach Tagungsbericht* , **42** (1986).

[8] A. Sankaranarayanan and S.K. Singh : *On the Riesz mean of $\frac{n}{\phi(n)}$*, Hardy-Ramanujan J., **36** (2013), 08-20.

[9] E.C. Titchmarsh : *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta function*, (revised by D.R. Heath-Brown), Clarendon Press, Oxford (1986).

Stat-Math Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, 203 BT Road, Kolkata-700108, INDIA.
E-mail address: skumar.bhu12@gmail.com